

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/720,226		11/25/2003	Yasushi Nishimori	0757-0280P	4350	
2292	7590	07/29/2005		EXAM	INER	
BIRCH S	TEWAR	T KOLASCH & BI	LOBO, IAN J			
	PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			3662		
				DATE MAILED: 07/29/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- Vic	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/720,226	NISHIMORI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	lan J. Lobo	3662
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. & 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A	pril 2005.	,
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.
disposition of Claims		•
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-16</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.	•
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	•	•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-16</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	or .	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 April 2005</u> is/are: a)		to by the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	-···	` '
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
	· ·	307 (310) 10 (10) 11 1 1 0 102.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		
Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ived in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau		·
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	ived.
ttaahmant(a)		
Attachment(s)) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] 1.426	(DTO 440)
2) Notice of References Cited (P10-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mall Date		al Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/720,226

Art Unit: 3662

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-9 and 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Independent claim 1 now recites the limitation that the control signal is based upon "at least one channel dependent parameter". New independent claim 13 recites "computing at least one parameter based upon a channel of a transducer element in the transducer array". However, the original disclosure is silent as to the "channel dependent parameter" and the "computing at least one parameter based upon a channel" and as such the instant claims are non-enabling.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 5 and 6 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot dependent upon any other multiple

Art Unit: 3662

dependent claim(s). See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 5 and 6 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 7-9, 11 and 13, as best understood, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a and/or e) as being anticipated by Cole et al ('033).

Cole et al discloses an ultrasonic transmitter which includes a transducer having a plurality of transducer elements (T-111 – T-114), and a transmitting beamformer (T-50) for providing commands to generate carrier drive signals. Note that the transmit beamformer generates a control signal for each channel. The breath of claim 1 and dependent claims 2-4, 7-9, 11 and 13 is such as to be anticipated by Cole et al.

Art Unit: 3662

6. Claims 1-4, 7-9, 11 and 13, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miller ('671).

Miller discloses an ultrasonic transmitter which includes a transducer (2) having a plurality of transducer elements (34), and a transmitting beamformer (8) for providing commands to generate carrier drive signals. Note that the transmit beamformer generates a control signal for each channel. The breath of claim 1 and dependent claims 2-4, 7-9, 11 and 13 is such as to be anticipated by Miller.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 3662

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ian J. Lobo whose telephone number is (571) 272-6974. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 6:30 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas H. Tarcza can be reached on (571) 272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lan J. Lobo Primary Examiner Art Unit 3662